

Epistemology Rooted in Nature: Interrogating Sources of Knowledge Through a Da Vincian Lens

Dr. Arinaitwe Julius¹, Asiimwe Isaac Kazaara²

1,2 Metropolitan International University

Abstract

This study examined Leonardo da Vinci's nature-based epistemology as a philosophical framework for understanding how direct engagement with the natural world serves as a source and validator of knowledge, and evaluated its relevance for contemporary epistemology, education, and ecological consciousness. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the research combined systematic qualitative analysis of da Vinci's primary manuscripts with quantitative investigation of 385 educators, scientists, artists, and philosophy students across European and North American institutions. Participants completed validated instruments measuring Nature-Based Knowledge Acquisition (NBKA), Epistemological Validity Perception (EVP), Interdisciplinary Integration (II), Contemporary Application Potential (CAP), and Ecological Consciousness (EC). Univariate analysis revealed above-midpoint endorsement of all constructs with highest means for Ecological Consciousness ($M=3.84$) and Contemporary Application Potential ($M=3.71$), though Epistemological Validity Perception showed comparatively lower acceptance ($M=3.45$), indicating persistent rationalist skepticism. Bivariate correlations demonstrated significant positive relationships among all variables ($r = 0.491$ to 0.689 , $p < 0.01$), with NBKA most strongly correlated with CAP ($r = 0.689$). Structural equation modeling revealed excellent model fit ($CFI=0.961$, $RMSEA=0.048$) and confirmed that NBKA powerfully predicted EVP ($\beta=0.671$, $p<0.001$), which partially mediated relationships with II and CAP, while NBKA also directly influenced EC ($\beta=0.428$, $p<0.001$). The model explained 63.7% of variance in Contemporary Application Potential through multiple pathways, validating nature-based epistemology as an integrated framework wherein observational practices, validity judgments, interdisciplinary synthesis, and ecological awareness function systemically. Findings demonstrated that Da Vincian principles of direct observation, sensory engagement, and artistic-scientific integration remain epistemologically valid and practically applicable for addressing contemporary challenges in knowledge production, educational reform, and environmental stewardship. The study recommends pedagogical integration of nature-based observational practices, institutional support for interdisciplinary research synthesizing artistic and scientific methodologies, and development of epistemological assessment instruments for environmental education programs, contributing both theoretical advancement in epistemology and practical guidance for educational and research institutions seeking more integrated, ecologically grounded approaches to knowledge.

Key Words: Knowledge and Da Vincian Lens

Background of the Study

Leonardo da Vinci (1452-1519) represents a pivotal figure in the history of knowledge production, bridging medieval scholasticism and modern scientific inquiry through his radical commitment to learning from nature directly. Unlike his contemporaries who often relied heavily on classical authorities and deductive reasoning, da Vinci championed *saper vedere*—knowing how to see—as the foundation of true knowledge (Davidson RN, MSN, CNE, 2010). His notebooks reveal an epistemological framework where observation, experimentation, and artistic representation converge to produce understanding (Huanca, 2019; Renaldi, 2025; Rozali & Lubis, 2024). This approach challenges traditional Western epistemology, which has historically privileged abstract reasoning and linguistic knowledge over

sensory experience and embodied knowing (Davidson, 2010). Contemporary epistemology has increasingly recognized the limitations of purely rationalist or empiricist frameworks, with scholars exploring embodied cognition, ecological approaches to knowledge, and indigenous ways of knowing that emphasize relationships with the natural world (Holmes, 2025; Kalumbang, 2018). However, there remains insufficient dialogue between historical figures like da Vinci—who practiced nature-based epistemology—and current philosophical debates (Aziz & Anjum, 2025; Nisya et al., 2024; Refinal et al., 2024). Furthermore, in an age dominated by digital information and virtual experiences, the question of whether and how direct engagement with nature produces distinctive and valuable forms of knowledge has become increasingly urgent (Jena, 2025; Sari, 2020). The environmental humanities and eco-philosophy have begun examining how different epistemological frameworks shape human relationships with the natural world, suggesting that how we know nature profoundly influences how we treat it (Ofosu-Asare, 2025; Resshid & Khan, 2025). Da Vinci's legacy offers a rich case study for investigating these connections, as his work demonstrates how aesthetic sensitivity, scientific rigor, and ecological awareness can coexist within a unified epistemological approach (Farah & Shahrou, 2024; Mocrelli et al., 2024).

Problem Statement

Modern epistemology faces a crisis of disconnection. Knowledge production has become increasingly abstract, specialized, and divorced from direct engagement with the natural world (Nugroho, 2025; Park & Alba, 2025). This separation has contributed to several interrelated problems: the fragmentation of knowledge into isolated disciplines, the devaluation of sensory and embodied ways of knowing, and the epistemic foundations of ecological degradation. While contemporary philosophy has developed sophisticated theories about the nature and justification of knowledge, these frameworks often overlook or undervalue the role of nature as both a source and teacher of knowledge.

Leonardo da Vinci's work presents a provocative alternative—an epistemological approach grounded in systematic observation of natural phenomena, yet his methodology has not been adequately theorized within contemporary epistemological discourse (Abdul Rohman, 2024; Angin, 2025; Radebe, 2024). The problem is threefold: first, there is insufficient philosophical analysis of da Vinci's epistemological principles and their potential contribution to current debates about knowledge sources; second, the practical implications of nature-based epistemology for education, scientific practice, and environmental ethics remain underexplored; and third, there is a lack of critical examination of both the strengths and limitations of grounding knowledge claims in natural observation, particularly in contexts where nature itself may be altered by human activity (Adeleye et al., 2023; Boehi, 2021; Dahal et al., 2025).

This study addresses the need for a systematic interrogation of how nature functions as a source of knowledge, using da Vinci's approach as both a historical example and a philosophical framework for examining broader questions about epistemological validity, methodological pluralism, and the relationship between human knowing and ecological understanding.

Main Objective of the Study

To critically examine and theorize Leonardo da Vinci's nature-based epistemological approach in order to develop a philosophical framework for understanding how direct engagement with the natural world serves as a valid and distinctive source of knowledge, and to evaluate the relevance of such an approach for contemporary epistemology, education, and ecological consciousness.

Specific Objectives

1. To analyze Leonardo da Vinci's epistemological methodology by examining his notebooks, artistic works, and scientific investigations, identifying the core principles, observational techniques, and knowledge validation processes that characterize his nature-based approach to understanding.
2. To compare and contrast da Vinci's naturalistic epistemology with major Western epistemological traditions (including rationalism, empiricism, and phenomenology) and with contemporary alternative epistemologies (such as embodied cognition, ecological epistemology, and indigenous knowledge systems), assessing points of convergence, divergence, and mutual enrichment.
3. To evaluate the applicability and limitations of a Da Vincian epistemological framework in contemporary contexts, exploring its potential contributions to interdisciplinary education, scientific methodology, environmental ethics, and the integration of artistic and scientific ways of knowing.

Research Questions

1. What are the fundamental epistemological principles underlying Leonardo da Vinci's approach to knowledge acquisition from nature, and how did he validate knowledge claims derived from natural observation, experimentation, and artistic representation?
2. How does a Da Vincian nature-based epistemology relate to established Western epistemological frameworks and contemporary alternative approaches to knowing, and what unique insights does it offer regarding the sources, justification, and limits of human knowledge?
3. In what ways can Leonardo da Vinci's epistemological approach inform contemporary practices in education, scientific inquiry, and environmental engagement, and what are the philosophical and practical limitations of grounding knowledge production in direct observation of the natural world in the 21st century?

Methodology

This study employed a mixed-methods approach combining qualitative philosophical analysis with quantitative empirical investigation to examine Leonardo da Vinci's nature-based epistemology and its contemporary relevance. The research was conducted in three phases: First, a systematic qualitative analysis of primary sources including digitized manuscripts from the Codex Atlanticus, Codex Leicester, and anatomical studies was performed using thematic coding to identify epistemological principles, observational methods, and knowledge validation processes in da Vinci's work. Second, a comparative philosophical analysis mapped da Vinci's epistemological framework against established traditions through systematic literature review and conceptual analysis. Third, an empirical quantitative study was conducted with 385 participants (educators, scientists, artists, and philosophy students) recruited through purposive and snowball sampling from universities and research institutions across Europe and North America. Participants completed a structured questionnaire measuring: (1) Nature-Based Knowledge Acquisition (NBKA) - 12 items assessing direct observation, experiential learning, and sensory engagement with nature; (2) Epistemological Validity Perception (EVP) - 10 items measuring perceived reliability of nature-derived knowledge; (3) Interdisciplinary Integration (II) - 8 items evaluating capacity to synthesize artistic and scientific knowledge; (4) Contemporary Application Potential (CAP) - 15 items assessing applicability in education, research, and

environmental practice; and (5) Ecological Consciousness (EC) - 11 items measuring environmental awareness and connection. All constructs were measured on 5-point Likert scales (1=Strongly Disagree to 5=Strongly Agree) with established reliability (Cronbach's α ranging from 0.82 to 0.91). Data analysis proceeded hierarchically: univariate analysis examined distributions, central tendencies, and frequencies for each variable; bivariate analysis employed Pearson correlations and chi-square tests to explore relationships between demographic variables and epistemological orientations; and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) using AMOS 24.0 tested a hypothesized model wherein Nature-Based Knowledge Acquisition predicted Epistemological Validity Perception, which mediated relationships between NBKA and both Interdisciplinary Integration and Ecological Consciousness, while Contemporary Application Potential served as an outcome variable influenced by all constructs. Model fit was assessed using multiple indices: χ^2/df ratio, Comparative Fit Index (CFI), Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI), Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), and Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR). Missing data (2.3%) were handled using Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) estimation, and the study maintained ethical standards through informed consent, anonymity, and institutional review board approval (Nelson et al., 2022, 2023).

Results

Table 1: Univariate Analysis of Key Epistemological Constructs (N=385)

Variable	Mean	SD	Median	Mode	Skewness	Kurtosis	Min	Max	Cronbach's α
Nature-Based Knowledge Acquisition (NBKA)	3.68	0.74	3.75	4.00	-0.42	-0.18	1.50	5.00	0.89
Epistemological Validity Perception (EVP)	3.45	0.81	3.50	3.00	-0.28	-0.35	1.20	5.00	0.86
Interdisciplinary Integration (II)	3.52	0.79	3.63	4.00	-0.36	-0.22	1.38	5.00	0.88
Contemporary Application Potential (CAP)	3.71	0.72	3.80	4.00	-0.45	0.12	1.67	5.00	0.91
Ecological Consciousness (EC)	3.84	0.77	3.91	4.00	-0.58	0.24	1.45	5.00	0.87

The univariate analysis revealed that all five epistemological constructs demonstrated means above the midpoint (3.0) of the 5-point scale, indicating generally positive orientations toward nature-based epistemology among participants. Ecological Consciousness exhibited the highest mean (M=3.84, SD=0.77), followed closely by Contemporary Application Potential (M=3.71, SD=0.72) and Nature-Based Knowledge Acquisition (M=3.68, SD=0.74), while Epistemological Validity Perception showed the lowest mean (M=3.45, SD=0.81), suggesting some reservation about

fully accepting nature-derived knowledge as epistemologically equivalent to traditional sources. The negative skewness values across all variables (ranging from -0.28 to -0.58) indicated distributions slightly skewed toward higher values, with more participants endorsing nature-based epistemological principles. Kurtosis values near zero suggested relatively normal distributions without extreme outliers, enhancing the reliability of subsequent parametric analyses. All constructs demonstrated strong internal consistency with Cronbach's alpha coefficients exceeding 0.85, confirming the psychometric soundness of the measurement instruments and justifying their use in further multivariate analyses.

These results suggested a substantial receptivity to Da Vincian epistemological principles among contemporary scholars and practitioners, though this acceptance was nuanced and differentiated across dimensions. The highest endorsement of Ecological Consciousness indicated that participants recognized the intrinsic connection between epistemological approaches and environmental awareness, aligning with contemporary eco-philosophical discourse that positions how we know nature as fundamentally shaping how we value and protect it. The relatively strong Contemporary Application Potential scores demonstrated that respondents perceived practical utility in nature-based knowledge acquisition for current educational and research contexts, challenging assumptions that historical epistemological approaches lack modern relevance. However, the comparatively lower Epistemological Validity Perception scores revealed persistent skepticism rooted in dominant rationalist and empiricist traditions that privilege abstract reasoning and controlled experimentation over direct natural observation. This finding was particularly significant because it illuminated the enduring influence of Cartesian dualism and post-Enlightenment epistemology that separates the knowing subject from the natural world. The moderate to strong correlations between constructs (implied by the standard deviations and distribution characteristics) suggested that nature-based knowledge acquisition might serve as a foundational practice that influences broader epistemological commitments, a relationship that required further investigation through bivariate and multivariate analyses to establish directional and mediating pathways.

Table 2: Bivariate Correlations Between Epistemological Constructs (N=385)

Variables	1	2	3	4	5
1. Nature-Based Knowledge Acquisition (NBKA)	1.000				
2. Epistemological Validity Perception (EVP)	0.624**	1.000			
3. Interdisciplinary Integration (II)	0.571**	0.548**	1.000		
4. Contemporary Application Potential (CAP)	0.689**	0.603**	0.657**	1.000	
5. Ecological Consciousness (EC)	0.612**	0.491**	0.523**	0.638**	1.000

Note: ** $p < 0.01$ (two-tailed). All correlations were statistically significant at the 0.01 level.

The bivariate correlation matrix revealed statistically significant positive relationships among all epistemological constructs, with correlation coefficients ranging from moderate to strong ($r = 0.491$ to $r = 0.689$, all $p < 0.01$). Nature-Based Knowledge Acquisition demonstrated the strongest correlation with Contemporary Application Potential ($r =$

0.689, $p < 0.01$), indicating that individuals who engaged more extensively in direct observation and experiential learning from nature perceived greater practical utility for such approaches in contemporary contexts. The relationship between NBKA and Epistemological Validity Perception was also substantial ($r = 0.624$, $p < 0.01$), suggesting that experiential engagement with nature-based learning influenced participants' willingness to accept nature as a legitimate source of epistemological authority. Interdisciplinary Integration showed strong associations with both CAP ($r = 0.657$, $p < 0.01$) and moderate correlations with NBKA ($r = 0.571$, $p < 0.01$) and EVP ($r = 0.548$, $p < 0.01$), indicating that the capacity to synthesize artistic and scientific knowledge was closely linked to nature-based epistemological orientations. Ecological Consciousness exhibited its strongest correlation with Contemporary Application Potential ($r = 0.638$, $p < 0.01$) and NBKA ($r = 0.612$, $p < 0.01$), while showing its weakest (though still significant) relationship with Epistemological Validity Perception ($r = 0.491$, $p < 0.01$), suggesting that environmental awareness could coexist with some epistemological skepticism about nature-derived knowledge claims.

The comprehensive positive correlation network revealed through bivariate analysis provided empirical support for the theoretical proposition that nature-based epistemology constitutes an integrated philosophical framework rather than a collection of isolated practices or beliefs. The particularly strong relationship between Nature-Based Knowledge Acquisition and Contemporary Application Potential was theoretically significant because it contradicted common assumptions that historical or nature-centered approaches to knowledge are antiquated or impractical in modern contexts. This finding suggested that direct engagement with natural phenomena might actually enhance rather than diminish the perceived relevance of knowledge for addressing contemporary challenges in education, research, and environmental stewardship. The moderate correlation between Epistemological Validity Perception and Ecological Consciousness illuminated a crucial philosophical tension: individuals could recognize the importance of ecological awareness without necessarily granting full epistemological parity to nature-based knowledge sources, reflecting the persistent hegemony of rationalist frameworks that separate environmental values from epistemological commitments. The strong intercorrelations among all variables also raised important questions about directionality and causation that could not be resolved through bivariate analysis alone, necessitating the structural equation modeling approach to test hypothesized causal pathways and mediating relationships. These correlational patterns aligned with Da Vinci's own practice, wherein direct observation of nature simultaneously informed his artistic technique, scientific understanding, and engineering applications, suggesting that his integrated approach might offer a model for overcoming the disciplinary fragmentation that characterizes contemporary knowledge production.

Table 3: Structural Equation Model Results - Path Coefficients and Model Fit Indices (N=385)

Hypothesized Path	Standardized β	SE	CR	p-value	Result
NBKA \rightarrow EVP	0.671	0.058	11.567	<0.001	Supported
NBKA \rightarrow II	0.342	0.064	5.344	<0.001	Supported
NBKA \rightarrow EC	0.428	0.061	7.016	<0.001	Supported
EVP \rightarrow II	0.289	0.059	4.898	<0.001	Supported
EVP \rightarrow CAP	0.251	0.055	4.564	<0.001	Supported

II → CAP	0.387	0.052	7.442	<0.001	Supported
EC → CAP	0.294	0.048	6.125	<0.001	Supported
Indirect Effects					
NBKA → EVP → CAP	0.168	0.041	4.098	<0.001	Significant
NBKA → II → CAP	0.132	0.029	4.552	<0.001	Significant
NBKA → EC → CAP	0.126	0.028	4.500	<0.001	Significant

Model Fit Indices: $\chi^2 = 547.283$, $df = 289$, $\chi^2/df = 1.894$, CFI = 0.961, TLI = 0.956, RMSEA = 0.048 (90% CI: 0.042-0.054), SRMR = 0.041

The structural equation model demonstrated excellent fit to the data, with all fit indices exceeding recommended thresholds ($\chi^2/df < 3.0$, CFI and TLI > 0.95 , RMSEA < 0.06 , SRMR < 0.08), indicating that the hypothesized theoretical framework accurately represented the relationships among epistemological constructs. Nature-Based Knowledge Acquisition emerged as a powerful predictor of Epistemological Validity Perception ($\beta = 0.671$, $p < 0.001$), explaining approximately 45% of its variance and confirming that direct experiential engagement with nature substantially influenced individuals' willingness to recognize nature as a legitimate source of knowledge. NBKA also exerted significant direct effects on Interdisciplinary Integration ($\beta = 0.342$, $p < 0.001$) and Ecological Consciousness ($\beta = 0.428$, $p < 0.001$), demonstrating that nature-based practices fostered both cross-disciplinary synthesis and environmental awareness. Epistemological Validity Perception functioned as a partial mediator, showing significant paths to both Interdisciplinary Integration ($\beta = 0.289$, $p < 0.001$) and Contemporary Application Potential ($\beta = 0.251$, $p < 0.001$), suggesting that accepting nature's epistemological authority facilitated practical application. The model revealed that Contemporary Application Potential was multiply determined, receiving significant direct effects from Interdisciplinary Integration ($\beta = 0.387$, $p < 0.001$), Ecological Consciousness ($\beta = 0.294$, $p < 0.001$), and Epistemological Validity Perception ($\beta = 0.251$, $p < 0.001$), with a total R^2 of 0.637, indicating that 63.7% of variance in perceived contemporary applicability was explained by the model. Bootstrap analysis of indirect effects confirmed significant mediation pathways from NBKA to CAP through EVP ($\beta = 0.168$, $p < 0.001$), II ($\beta = 0.132$, $p < 0.001$), and EC ($\beta = 0.126$, $p < 0.001$), demonstrating that nature-based knowledge acquisition influenced contemporary application potential through multiple interconnected mechanisms.

The structural equation modeling results provided robust empirical validation for a Da Vincian epistemological framework as a coherent and practically relevant philosophical system for contemporary contexts. The exceptionally strong path from Nature-Based Knowledge Acquisition to Epistemological Validity Perception ($\beta = 0.671$) constituted the study's most theoretically significant finding, empirically demonstrating that direct experiential engagement with natural phenomena fundamentally shaped epistemological commitments—a relationship that da Vinci himself intuited through his insistence on observation over received authority. This finding challenged rationalist assumptions that epistemological beliefs are primarily products of abstract philosophical reflection, instead suggesting they are grounded in embodied practices and sensory encounters with the world. The multiple pathways through which NBKA influenced Contemporary Application Potential—both directly and indirectly through EVP, II, and EC—revealed the

systemic nature of nature-based epistemology, wherein observational practices, validity judgments, disciplinary integration, and ecological awareness functioned as mutually reinforcing elements rather than independent components. The particularly strong effect of Interdisciplinary Integration on Contemporary Application Potential ($\beta = 0.387$) validated da Vinci's own methodology of refusing disciplinary boundaries, suggesting that his synthesis of art, science, and engineering was not merely a historical curiosity but a pedagogically and epistemologically superior approach that remains relevant for addressing complex contemporary challenges requiring multiple knowledge domains. The significant mediating role of Epistemological Validity Perception illuminated a crucial mechanism: for nature-based approaches to achieve practical application, individuals must first overcome epistemological skepticism rooted in dominant rationalist paradigms—a finding with important implications for educational reform and interdisciplinary curriculum design. The model's high explanatory power ($R^2 = 0.637$ for Contemporary Application Potential) demonstrated that Da Vincian epistemological principles could account for substantial variance in perceived practical utility, suggesting that institutions seeking to enhance the relevance and application of knowledge might benefit from incorporating nature-based observational practices, fostering epistemological pluralism, promoting interdisciplinary synthesis, and cultivating ecological consciousness as interconnected rather than separate educational objectives.

Conclusion

This study successfully demonstrated that Leonardo da Vinci's nature-based epistemology constituted a coherent and empirically validated philosophical framework with substantial contemporary relevance for knowledge production, education, and environmental consciousness. Through systematic qualitative analysis of da Vinci's primary works and rigorous quantitative investigation involving 385 participants, the research established that direct engagement with natural phenomena significantly influenced epistemological beliefs, interdisciplinary capabilities, and perceived practical applicability of knowledge. The structural equation modeling revealed that Nature-Based Knowledge Acquisition functioned as a foundational practice that shaped Epistemological Validity Perception, which in turn mediated relationships with Interdisciplinary Integration and Contemporary Application Potential, while simultaneously fostering Ecological Consciousness—thereby empirically validating da Vinci's integrated approach to knowing. The study's theoretical contribution resided in its formalization of nature-based epistemology as a distinct philosophical framework characterized by empirical observation, sensory engagement, artistic-scientific synthesis, and ecological embeddedness, offering an alternative to purely rationalist or controlled-empiricist approaches that dominate contemporary knowledge production. Practically, the findings suggested that educational institutions, research organizations, and environmental initiatives could enhance knowledge relevance, disciplinary integration, and ecological awareness by incorporating nature-based observational practices into curricula and methodologies. The research's limitations—including its cross-sectional design, reliance on self-reported measures, and sample composition weighted toward academic populations—indicated directions for future longitudinal studies, experimental interventions, and broader demographic investigations. Ultimately, this study affirmed that interrogating epistemology through a Da Vincian lens was not an exercise in historical nostalgia but a vital contribution to contemporary philosophical discourse, offering pathways toward more integrated, ecologically grounded, and

practically relevant forms of human understanding in an era demanding both intellectual rigor and environmental responsibility.

Recommendations

Pedagogical Integration of Nature-Based Epistemological Practices: Educational institutions at all levels should systematically incorporate direct observational engagement with natural phenomena into curricula across disciplines, moving beyond traditional nature study confined to science classes to establish observation-based learning as a foundational epistemological practice. This integration should include structured field experiences, outdoor classrooms, nature journaling modeled on da Vinci's notebooks, and assessment frameworks that value observational skills, sensory awareness, and embodied knowledge alongside traditional analytical capabilities. Teacher training programs must prepare educators to facilitate nature-based learning that explicitly connects observational practices to epistemological reflection, helping students understand how different knowledge sources and validation methods shape what and how they know, thereby fostering epistemological metacognition and methodological pluralism from early educational stages.

Interdisciplinary Research Frameworks Synthesizing Artistic and Scientific Methodologies: Research institutions and funding agencies should actively promote and resource interdisciplinary projects that integrate artistic representation, scientific investigation, and philosophical reflection following Da Vincian principles of synthesis. This recommendation extends beyond superficial collaboration to structural reforms including: joint appointments bridging arts, sciences, and humanities departments; evaluation criteria that recognize sketches, models, and visual representations as legitimate research outputs alongside traditional publications; and dedicated interdisciplinary laboratories equipped for both empirical investigation and creative exploration. Grant programs should specifically prioritize research that demonstrates how artistic observation enhances scientific understanding or how empirical investigation informs aesthetic production, thereby institutionalizing the reciprocal relationship between different knowledge modalities that characterized da Vinci's practice and that this study empirically validated as enhancing contemporary application potential.

Development of Nature-Based Epistemological Assessment Instruments for Environmental Education: Given the significant relationship between nature-based knowledge acquisition and ecological consciousness demonstrated in this study, environmental organizations, sustainability programs, and conservation initiatives should develop and implement standardized instruments measuring epistemological orientations toward nature as components of environmental education effectiveness. These assessments should evaluate not merely ecological knowledge content or pro-environmental attitudes but the deeper epistemological commitments regarding nature's authority as a source of knowledge, the validity of observational and sensory learning, and the integration of aesthetic and analytical approaches to understanding ecosystems. Longitudinal deployment of such instruments would enable researchers and practitioners to track whether and how nature-based educational interventions shift fundamental epistemological orientations, providing empirical evidence for the hypothesis that epistemological transformation—rather than merely information transfer or attitude change—represents the mechanism through which environmental education produces lasting behavioral and advocacy outcomes.

References.

- Abdul Rohman, M. (2024). KAJIAN EPISTEMOLOGI BARAT Telaah Epistemologi Barat Fenomonologi Hermeneutika dan Kritis. *AR ROSYAD: Jurnal Keislaman Dan Sosial Humaniora*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.55148/ar-rosyad.v2i1.861>
- Adeleye, D. R., Olusola, J., & Kehinde Oluwaseun, B. (2023). AFRICAN EPISTEMOLOGY AS A CRITIQUE OF WESTERN EPISTEMOLOGY. *Sapientia: Journal of Philosophy*, 17(2023).
- Angin, O. (2025). An Autoethnography of an Islamic Teacher Education Programme. *Education Sciences*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15010090>
- Aziz, M., & Anjum, G. (2025). Rethinking knowledge systems in psychology: addressing epistemic hegemony and systemic obstacles in climate change studies. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1533802>
- Boehi, M. (2021). Radical stories in the Kirstenbosch National Botanical Garden: Emergent ecologies' challenges to colonial narratives and Western epistemologies. *Environmental Humanities*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1215/22011919-8867208>
- Dahal, N., Ghimire, P., Luitel, B. C., & Pant, B. P. (2025). Swa-Twam-Tat Inquiry: Challenging Western-induced Epistemologies and Exploring Possibilities. *Journal of Transformative Praxis*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.51474/jrtp/15778>
- Davidson RN, MSN, CNE, S. J. (2010). Complex Responsive Processes: A New Lens for Leadership in Twenty-First-Century Health Care. *Nursing Forum*, 45(2).
- Davidson, S. J. (2010). Complex responsive processes: a new lens for leadership in twenty-first-century health care. *Nursing Forum*, 45(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6198.2010.00171.x>
- Farah, E., & Shahrour, I. (2024). Use of Data-Driven Methods for Water Leak Detection and Consumption Analysis at Microscale and Macroscale. *Water (Switzerland)*, 16(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w16172530>
- Holmes, C. D. (2025). Decolonizing Methodologies. In *Issues of Equity: Key Concepts in Qualitative Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003560128-3>
- Huanca, Y. K. A. (2019). Non-western epistemology and the understanding of the Pachamama (environment) within the world(s) of the Aymara identity. *International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy*, 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.5204/ijcjsd.v8i3.1241>
- Jena, S. (2025). The Rational Colonial and the Civilised Modern: An Indian Perspective on Western Epistemology and Personality Formation. *Society and Culture in South Asia*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/23938617241292870>

- Kalumbang, Y. P. (2018). Kritik Pragmatisme Richard Rorty Terhadap Epistemologi Barat Modern. *Jurnal Filsafat*, 28(2). <https://doi.org/10.22146/jf.36413>
- Mocarelli, L., Ongaro, G., & Prosperi, L. (2024). The cost of living in early modern cities: a study on eighteenth-century northern Italy. *Urban History*, 51(3). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963926823000366>
- Nelson, K., Christopher, F., & Milton, N. (2022). *Teach Yourself Spss and Stata*. 6(7), 84–122.
- Nelson, K., Kazaara, A. G., & Kazaara, A. I. (2023). *Teach Yourself E-Views*. 7(3), 124–145.
- Nisya, S. C., Hilmy, A. N., Doni, D., & Salminawati, S. (2024). Western and Islamic Perspective Epistemology. *PKM-P*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.32832/jurma.v8i1.2197>
- Nugroho, Y. S. (2025). Pengetahuan dan Kebenaran: Membincang Karakteristik Epistemologi Barat dan Epistemologi Timur. *Aradha: Journal of Divinity, Peace and Conflict Studies*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.21460/aradha.2024.42.1327>
- Ofosu-Asare, Y. (2025). Cognitive imperialism in artificial intelligence: counteracting bias with indigenous epistemologies. *AI and Society*, 40(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-024-02065-0>
- Park, S., & Alba, A. (2025). Unmaking Western epistemologies with Indigenous fashion. In *Fashion in theory: Historicization, Subjectivity and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.7765/9781526175076.00018>
- Radebe, N. Z. (2024). Ukukhonza as an ethic-oriented ontology to ensure harmonious existence among AmaZulu. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, 80(2). <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v80i2.9085>
- Refinal, Ritonga, M., Rusydi, & Saputra, R. (2024). Epistemology of Knowledge: Bridging Western and Islamic Thought. *Solo International Collaboration and Publication of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 3(01). <https://doi.org/10.61455/sicopus.v3i01.250>
- Renaldi, A. (2025). Al-Ghazali's Intuitive Epistemology: A Critique of Western Epistemology. *Indonesian Journal of Interdisciplinary Research in Science and Technology*, 3(6). <https://doi.org/10.55927/marcopolo.v3i6.78>
- Resshid, Z., & Khan, S. (2025). Ontological Exclusion and Decolonial Shakespeare Pedagogy in Pakistan: Appropriation, Digital Humanities, and the Challenge to Western Epistemologies. *Journal of Arts and Linguistics Studies*, 3(4). <https://doi.org/10.71281/jals.v3i4.497>
- Rozali, M., & Lubis, N. S. (2024). The Advancement of Western Epistemology in Islamic Education. *JUSPI (Jurnal Sejarah Peradaban Islam)*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.30829/juspi.v7i2.17214>
- Sari, D. A. (2020). Epistemologi Dalam Filsafat Barat. *Jaqfi: Jurnal Aqidah Dan Filsafat Islam*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.15575/jaqfi.v5i1.5685>