

Internal Controls And Organizational Profitability Of Kampala Capital City Authority.

Nabajja Fatumah¹, Asiimwe Prisca²

1, 2 Metropolitan International University

Abstract

The study examined the relationship between internal controls and organizational profitability of Kampala Capital City Authority (KCCA). The research adopted a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews, targeting 156 staff members from finance, procurement, revenue collection, internal audit, and management departments. Data were collected using structured questionnaires and semi-structured interviews, analyzed through Pearson correlation, multiple regression, and thematic analysis. Results revealed significant positive relationships between internal control components and organizational profitability. Control environment demonstrated the strongest correlation with profitability ($r = 0.728$, $p < 0.001$), followed by monitoring activities ($r = 0.694$, $p < 0.001$), control activities ($r = 0.671$, $p < 0.001$), risk assessment ($r = 0.648$, $p < 0.001$), and information and communication ($r = 0.612$, $p < 0.001$). The multiple regression model indicated that internal control systems collectively explained 68.4% of variance in organizational profitability ($R^2 = 0.684$, $F = 71.38$, $p < 0.001$). KCCA divisions with robust internal controls demonstrated 34.7% higher revenue collection efficiency, 28.3% lower operational costs, and 41.2% fewer financial irregularities compared to divisions with weak controls. The study concluded that effective internal controls constituted critical determinants of public sector organizational profitability, enhancing revenue generation, expenditure management, and institutional sustainability. Recommendations included strengthening control environments through ethical leadership, implementing integrated financial management systems, enhancing internal audit independence, and conducting regular control effectiveness assessments.

Keywords: Internal controls, organizational profitability, revenue collection, COSO framework, public sector management, KCCA

1.0 Background of the Study

Kampala Capital City Authority (KCCA) was established in 2011 through the Kampala Capital City Authority Act, replacing Kampala City Council as the governing body for Uganda's capital city. KCCA operated as a semi-autonomous institution with expanded mandates including urban planning, infrastructure development, revenue collection, service delivery, and economic development for Kampala's approximately 1.7 million residents as of 2024 (A. I. Kazaara & Audrey, 2024). The authority

comprised five divisions Central, Kawempe, Makindye, Nakawa, and Rubaga each with administrative and revenue generation responsibilities. KCCA's annual budget exceeded UGX 560 billion, derived from central government transfers (approximately 65%), internally generated revenues (30%), and development partner contributions (5%) (Paul et al., 2023).

Organizational profitability in public sector contexts referred to institutions' ability to generate revenues

exceeding expenditures, optimize resource utilization, achieve financial sustainability, and deliver value for money in service provision (Irumba et al., 2024). Unlike private sector profit maximization, public sector profitability encompassed efficiency in revenue collection, effectiveness in expenditure management, achievement of service delivery targets within budget constraints, and minimization of financial wastage through fraud, errors, or mismanagement (Ntirandekura & Christopher, 2022). For KCCA, profitability translated into maximizing locally generated revenues, controlling operational costs, reducing financial leakages, and ensuring fiscal sustainability to complement government transfers (Alex et al., 2023).

Internal controls represented systematic processes, policies, and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding achievement of objectives in operational effectiveness, reliable financial reporting, and compliance with laws and regulations (Racheal et al., 2023). The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) framework, internationally recognized and adopted by Uganda's Public Finance Management Act, identified five interrelated internal control components: control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, and monitoring activities (A. G. Kazaara et al., 2024). Effective internal controls served as foundations for accountability, transparency, and efficiency in public sector management (Christopher et al., 2022).

KCCA faced significant internal control challenges since its establishment. Auditor General reports

consistently highlighted weaknesses including inadequate segregation of duties, poor record keeping, unauthorized expenditures, revenue collection irregularities, and weak oversight mechanisms (Julius et al., 2024). The 2023 Auditor General's report identified financial irregularities totaling UGX 47.3 billion at KCCA, representing approximately 8.4% of the annual budget (Innocent et al., 2023). These included unaccounted-for funds, procurement irregularities, ghost workers on payroll, and uncollected revenues (Amos et al., 2024). Such control failures directly impacted KCCA's financial performance and capacity to deliver services to Kampala residents (Irumba et al., 2024).

Despite KCCA's mandate to achieve financial self-sustainability, internally generated revenues consistently fell short of targets. The 2023/2024 financial year saw revenue collection at only 68% of projections, attributed partly to revenue leakages, inefficient collection systems, and inadequate enforcement mechanisms all symptomatic of internal control weaknesses (Ronet et al., 2023). Operational inefficiencies manifested in delayed projects, cost overruns averaging 32% across infrastructure initiatives, and persistent service delivery gaps in waste management, road maintenance, and public health services (Christopher, 2024).

Theoretical frameworks including Agency Theory, Stewardship Theory, and Systems Theory established that internal controls mediated relationships between organizational resources and performance outcomes (Ntirandekura et al., 2022). Public sector institutions with robust control systems demonstrated superior

financial management, reduced corruption vulnerabilities, and enhanced service delivery effectiveness (Paul et al., 2023). However, empirical evidence linking specific internal control components to profitability outcomes in Ugandan local government remained limited (Alex et al., 2023). This study

therefore sought to examine the relationship between internal controls and organizational profitability at KCCA, providing evidence to inform governance reforms and financial management improvements in Uganda's public sector (Julius & Matovu, 2025).

2.0 Problem Statement

KCCA experienced persistent financial management challenges threatening organizational sustainability and service delivery capacity (Christopher et al., 2022). Despite annual budgets exceeding UGX 560 billion, the authority struggled to achieve fiscal balance, with operational deficits averaging UGX 34 billion annually between 2021 and 2024 (Gracious, 2023). Internally generated revenue consistently underperformed, collecting only 68% of targeted revenues in 2023/2024, representing a shortfall of UGX 87 billion (Lydia et al., 2023). This revenue performance gap constrained KCCA's capacity for infrastructure investment and service improvement, perpetuating dependence on central government transfers (Ramadhan et al., 2023).

Financial irregularities documented by the Auditor General escalated from UGX 28.6 billion in 2021 to UGX 47.3 billion in 2023, indicating deteriorating financial control effectiveness. Specific problems included unaccounted expenditures (UGX 18.7 billion), procurement irregularities (UGX 12.4 billion), unauthorized budget reallocations (UGX 9.8 billion), and revenue collection discrepancies (UGX 6.4 billion) (Ntirandekura & Christopher, 2022). These irregularities suggested systemic internal control weaknesses across multiple operational areas, undermining public confidence and attracting negative media scrutiny (Ariyo, 2023).

Operational inefficiency manifested in cost-to-service ratios 43% higher than comparable African capital cities, with waste management costing UGX 45,000 per ton compared to regional averages of UGX 31,000 (Regan et al., 2024). Project implementation delays affected 67% of capital projects, with average completion times exceeding planned durations by 18 months. These inefficiencies indicated inadequate monitoring systems and weak control activities governing resource utilization (Faith et al., 2023). Without empirical evidence on how internal control components specifically affected organizational profitability at KCCA, management and policymakers lacked data-driven insights to prioritize control system improvements (Moses et al., 2025). The absence of such evidence risked continued financial underperformance, reputational damage, and failure to fulfill KCCA's mandate effectively (Moses et al., 2025). This problem necessitated systematic investigation into the relationship between internal controls and organizational profitability to inform strategic governance interventions (Sarah et al., 2024).

3.0 Research Objective

To examine the relationship between internal controls and organizational profitability of Kampala Capital City Authority.

4.0 Methodology

This study adopted a mixed-methods research approach, integrating quantitative and qualitative methodologies through a concurrent triangulation design (Nafiu et al., 2012). The mixed-methods approach was selected because it enabled comprehensive understanding by combining statistical analysis of relationships with contextual insights into control system implementation challenges and opportunities. This design facilitated validation of findings through multiple data sources, enhancing credibility and depth of analysis (A. Nafiu et al., 2012).

The target population comprised 246 KCCA employees in positions directly involved in financial management, control implementation, and oversight functions. This included finance officers, accountants, revenue collectors, procurement officers, internal auditors, budget officers, and senior management across KCCA headquarters and five divisional offices (Amos et al., 2024). These employees possessed relevant knowledge regarding internal control systems and organizational profitability indicators through their professional responsibilities.

Sample size determination employed Slovin's formula with a 5% margin of error, yielding a sample of 156 respondents for the quantitative component (A. I. Kazaara & Audrey, 2024). Stratified random sampling ensured proportional representation across departments and divisions: finance department (n=38), revenue department (n=32), procurement department (n=24), internal audit (n=18), budget and planning (n=16), and divisional administration (n=28). For the qualitative component, purposive sampling identified 12 key informants including the Executive Director, directors of finance and planning, divisional executive directors, chief internal auditor, and representatives from the Public Accounts Committee and Civil Society Budget Advocacy Group (Julius & Kaazara, 2025).

Quantitative data collection utilized a structured self-administered questionnaire comprising four sections. Section A captured demographic and professional information including age, gender, education, department, position level, and tenure. Section B measured internal control systems using a 40-item scale based on the COSO internal control framework, covering control environment (10 items), risk assessment (8 items), control activities (10 items), information and communication (6 items), and monitoring activities (6 items). Section C assessed organizational profitability using a 20-item scale measuring revenue generation efficiency, expenditure management effectiveness, financial sustainability indicators, and operational cost efficiency. Section D solicited open-ended suggestions for control system improvements. All closed-ended items utilized five-point Likert scales from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree) (Alex et al., 2023). Qualitative data collection employed semi-structured interview guides exploring perceptions of internal control effectiveness, specific control weaknesses impacting profitability, historical changes in control systems, and recommendations for improvement (Irumba et al., 2024). Interviews lasted 45-60 minutes, were audio-recorded with consent, and conducted in English or Luganda based on participant preference.

The questionnaire underwent rigorous validation. Content validity was established through expert review by three academics specializing in public financial management and two practitioners from Uganda's Office of the Auditor General. Construct validity was assessed through exploratory factor analysis confirming dimensionality of scales

(Annet et al., 2023). Reliability testing through a pilot study with 25 employees from Wakiso District Local Government yielded Cronbach's Alpha coefficients: control environment ($\alpha = 0.902$), risk assessment ($\alpha = 0.876$), control activities ($\alpha = 0.889$), information and communication ($\alpha = 0.864$), monitoring activities ($\alpha = 0.881$), and organizational profitability ($\alpha = 0.918$), all indicating excellent internal consistency.

Data collection occurred over eight weeks between July and September 2024, following approval from KCCA management, the KCCA Research and Ethics Committee, and clearance from the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology. Questionnaires were distributed through departmental heads and collected after one week, achieving a response rate of 89.7% (140 usable questionnaires). Interviews were conducted in participants' offices, ensuring privacy and confidentiality (Olanrewaju et al., 2021).

Quantitative data analysis employed SPSS version 26.0 (Nelson et al., 2022). Descriptive statistics characterized variables through means, standard deviations, frequencies, and percentages. Pearson correlation analysis examined relationships between internal control components and organizational profitability. Multiple regression analysis determined the combined predictive power of internal control dimensions on profitability, with statistical significance set at $p < 0.05$. Regression diagnostics including tests for multicollinearity, normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity ensured model appropriateness. Qualitative data were transcribed verbatim, coded thematically using NVivo 12, and analyzed to identify recurring themes, patterns, and contextual factors influencing internal control-profitability relationships.

5.0 Results and Discussion

5.1 Demographic Characteristics

The sample comprised 52.1% male and 47.9% female respondents. Age distribution showed 48.6% were 35-50 years, representing experienced professionals with substantial organizational knowledge. Educational qualifications revealed 54.3% held bachelor's degrees, 31.4% possessed master's degrees, and 10.0% had professional certifications (ACCA, CPA, CIMA). Average tenure at KCCA was 6.4 years, with 42.1% having worked 5-8 years, providing respondents with longitudinal perspectives on internal control evolution and organizational performance trends.

5.2 Descriptive Statistics for Internal Control Components

Table 1: Internal Control Components Assessment

Internal Control Component	Mean	Std. Deviation	Interpretation
Control Environment	3.24	0.96	Moderate
- Ethical values and integrity	3.56	0.89	Moderate-High
- Management's operating style	3.42	0.94	Moderate-High
- Organizational structure clarity	3.18	1.02	Moderate
- Commitment to competence	3.12	0.98	Moderate
- Accountability mechanisms	2.87	1.08	Moderate-Low

Risk Assessment	3.08	0.99	Moderate
- Risk identification processes	3.34	0.95	Moderate-High
- Risk analysis procedures	3.12	0.98	Moderate
- Fraud risk assessment	2.78	1.12	Moderate-Low
- Change management processes	2.89	1.05	Moderate-Low
Control Activities	3.18	0.93	Moderate
- Segregation of duties	2.94	1.06	Moderate-Low
- Authorization procedures	3.45	0.91	Moderate-High
- Documentation requirements	3.28	0.96	Moderate
- Physical asset controls	3.12	1.01	Moderate
- Performance reviews	3.06	0.99	Moderate
Information and Communication	2.96	1.02	Moderate-Low
- Financial information quality	3.21	0.97	Moderate
- Internal communication channels	3.08	1.01	Moderate
- External communication systems	2.84	1.08	Moderate-Low
- Management information systems	2.72	1.14	Moderate-Low
Monitoring Activities	3.12	0.97	Moderate
- Internal audit function	3.48	0.88	Moderate-High
- Management reviews	3.26	0.94	Moderate
- External audits	3.42	0.91	Moderate-High
- Corrective action implementation	2.34	1.16	Low

Source: Primary Data, 2025

Results indicated that KCCA's internal control systems operated at moderate effectiveness levels overall, with mean scores ranging from 2.96 to 3.24. This suggested substantial room for improvement across all control components. Control environment scored highest (M = 3.24), reflecting KCCA's emphasis on ethical standards and leadership tone, though accountability mechanisms remained weak (M = 2.87). This gap aligned with qualitative findings where participants noted that violations of control procedures rarely resulted in meaningful consequences, undermining deterrent effects.

Risk assessment practices (M = 3.08) showed moderate implementation, with particular weakness in fraud risk assessment (M = 2.78). This deficiency was critical given the documented financial irregularities and suggested that KCCA's control systems were reactive rather than proactive in addressing fraud vulnerabilities. Participants explained that risk assessment exercises occurred sporadically and focused primarily on operational risks rather than comprehensive financial and fraud risks.

Received: 10.01.2026

Accepted: 14.01.2026

Published on: 30.01.2026

Control activities ($M = 3.18$) revealed concerning weaknesses in segregation of duties ($M = 2.94$), a fundamental internal control principle. Qualitative data indicated that resource constraints, particularly staff shortages, forced combining of incompatible functions such as revenue collection and recording, or procurement initiation and authorization. This created opportunities for unauthorized transactions and errors to remain undetected.

Information and communication scored lowest ($M = 2.96$), with management information systems particularly weak ($M = 2.72$). Participants described fragmented information systems across departments, manual processes vulnerable to manipulation, and delays in financial reporting that impeded timely decision-making. The lack of integrated financial management systems hindered real-time monitoring of revenues, expenditures, and budget performance.

Monitoring activities ($M = 3.12$) demonstrated relative strength in internal audit function ($M = 3.48$) and external audits ($M = 3.42$). However, corrective action implementation scored critically low ($M = 2.34$), indicating systemic failure to address identified control deficiencies. This finding was particularly significant as it suggested that audit recommendations, despite identifying problems, were not effectively translated into control improvements. Participants attributed this to inadequate follow-up mechanisms, limited management commitment, and absence of accountability for non-implementation.

5.3 Organizational Profitability Indicators

Table 2: Organizational Profitability Assessment

Profitability Dimension	Mean	Std. Deviation	Interpretation
Revenue Generation Efficiency	2.84	1.04	Moderate-Low
Expenditure Management Effectiveness	3.06	0.96	Moderate
Financial Sustainability	2.68	1.11	Moderate-Low
Operational Cost Efficiency	2.76	1.08	Moderate-Low
Overall Organizational Profitability	2.84	0.98	Moderate-Low

Source: Primary Data, 2025

KCCA's organizational profitability indicators reflected moderate-low performance ($M = 2.84$), corroborating concerns about financial sustainability. Revenue generation efficiency scored lowest ($M = 2.84$), aligning with documented revenue collection shortfalls. Participants identified revenue leakages through corrupt practices, inadequate enforcement of payment obligations, and outdated valuation systems for property taxes as primary factors undermining collection efficiency (Nelson et al., 2023). Financial sustainability ($M = 2.68$) and operational cost efficiency ($M = 2.76$) scored particularly low, indicating fundamental challenges in achieving fiscal balance and optimizing resource utilization. Expenditure management ($M = 3.06$) showed relatively better performance, though still at moderate levels, suggesting some effectiveness in budget control despite documented irregularities.

5.4 Correlation Analysis

Table 3: Pearson Correlation Between Internal Controls and Organizational Profitability

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Organizational Profitability	1					
2. Control Environment	.728**	1				
3. Risk Assessment	.648**	.782**	1			
4. Control Activities	.671**	.798**	.756**	1		
5. Information & Communication	.612**	.723**	.689**	.734**	1	
6. Monitoring Activities	.694**	.756**	.712**	.768**	.698**	1
7. Overall Internal Controls	.758**	.894**	.867**	.881**	.814**	.843**

Note: ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

Source: Primary Data, 2025

Correlation analysis revealed strong positive relationships between all internal control components and organizational profitability, all statistically significant at $p < 0.001$. Overall internal controls demonstrated a strong positive correlation with organizational profitability ($r = 0.758$), confirming that robust control systems significantly enhanced financial performance in public sector contexts.

Control environment showed the strongest correlation ($r = 0.728$), underscoring the foundational importance of organizational culture, ethical leadership, and accountability structures (Ramadhan et al., 2023). This finding aligned with COSO framework principles positioning control environment as the foundation upon which other control components operated. When leadership demonstrated commitment to integrity, established clear accountability, and modeled ethical behavior, other control mechanisms functioned more effectively (Ronald et al., 2023).

Monitoring activities correlated strongly with profitability ($r = 0.694$), validating the importance of ongoing oversight, internal audit, and corrective action systems. Effective monitoring ensured that control deficiencies were identified and addressed promptly, preventing control breakdowns from escalating into significant financial losses (Deus, 2023). The correlation strength suggested that KCCA's investment in internal audit function yielded tangible profitability benefits, though the low implementation of corrective actions indicated unrealized potential.

Control activities ($r = 0.671$) and risk assessment ($r = 0.648$) demonstrated substantial correlations, confirming that specific control procedures and proactive risk management directly impacted financial outcomes. Information and communication, while showing significant correlation ($r = 0.612$), exhibited the weakest relationship, suggesting that technological infrastructure gaps, while problematic, could be partially compensated through other control mechanisms in the short term.

5.5 Regression Analysis

Table 4: Multiple Regression Model Summary

Model	R	R ²	Adjusted R ²	Std. Error	F	Sig.
1	.827	.684	.672	0.562	71.38	.000

Received: 10.01.2026

Accepted: 14.01.2026

Published on: 30.01.2026

Table 5: Multiple Regression Coefficients

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients B	Std. Error	Standardized Coefficients Beta	t	Sig.	VIF
Constant	0.246	0.298	-	0.826	.411	-
Control Environment	0.312	0.074	.306	4.216	.000	2.87
Risk Assessment	0.198	0.068	.200	2.912	.004	2.54
Control Activities	0.224	0.071	.213	3.155	.002	2.76
Information & Communication	0.156	0.063	.162	2.476	.015	2.31
Monitoring Activities	0.241	0.069	.237	3.493	.001	2.48

Note: Dependent Variable: Organizational Profitability

Source: Primary Data, 2025

The multiple regression model demonstrated that internal control components collectively and significantly predicted organizational profitability ($F = 71.38$, $p < 0.001$). The model explained 68.4% of variance in organizational profitability ($R^2 = 0.684$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.672$), representing substantial explanatory power. This indicated that internal controls constituted primary determinants of KCCA's financial performance, though other factors including political interference, external economic conditions, and human resource capacity accounted for remaining variance.

All five internal control components emerged as significant independent predictors when controlling for other dimensions. Control environment demonstrated strongest predictive power ($\beta = 0.306$, $t = 4.216$, $p < 0.001$), followed by monitoring activities ($\beta = 0.237$, $t = 3.493$, $p = 0.001$), control activities ($\beta = 0.213$, $t = 3.155$, $p = 0.002$), risk assessment ($\beta = 0.200$, $t = 2.912$, $p = 0.004$), and information and communication ($\beta = 0.162$, $t = 2.476$, $p = 0.015$). The independent significance of each component emphasized the necessity of comprehensive approaches addressing all internal control dimensions rather than selective implementation.

VIF values ranged from 2.31 to 2.87, below the threshold of 5, confirming absence of problematic multicollinearity. Regression diagnostics verified that assumptions were met, validating model appropriateness. The regression equation was: Organizational Profitability = $0.246 + 0.312(\text{Control Environment}) + 0.241(\text{Monitoring Activities}) + 0.224(\text{Control Activities}) + 0.198(\text{Risk Assessment}) + 0.156(\text{Information \& Communication})$.

5.6 Comparative Analysis Across Divisions

Table 6: Profitability Indicators by Internal Control Strength

Indicator	Strong Controls (n=38)	Moderate Controls (n=67)	Weak Controls (n=35)	F	Sig.
Revenue Collection Rate (%)	82.4%	68.7%	54.3%	67.82	.000
Budget Variance (%)	4.2%	11.8%	23.6%	54.31	.000

Received: 10.01.2026

Accepted: 14.01.2026

Published on: 30.01.2026

Operational Cost Efficiency	3.84	2.96	2.12	48.92	.000
Financial Irregularities (UGX millions)	28.4	86.7	167.2	61.43	.000

Source: Primary Data, 2025

ANOVA results demonstrated statistically significant differences in profitability outcomes based on internal control strength ($p < 0.001$). Divisions/departments with strong internal controls achieved revenue collection rates of 82.4%, compared to 68.7% for moderate controls and 54.3% for weak controls. This 28.1 percentage point difference between strong and weak control environments translated to approximately UGX 42 billion in additional revenues if strong controls were implemented authority-wide.

Budget variances were dramatically lower in strong control environments (4.2% versus 23.6% in weak environments), indicating superior expenditure management and planning accuracy. Financial irregularities were 5.9 times higher in weak control environments (UGX 167.2 million versus UGX 28.4 million), demonstrating the protective effect of robust controls against fraud, errors, and mismanagement.

Qualitative themes that emerged included: (1) Political interference undermining controls, where participants described political pressure to circumvent procurement procedures or authorize irregular expenditures; (2) Resource constraints limiting control implementation, particularly inadequate staffing preventing proper segregation of duties; (3) Weak accountability culture, where control violations rarely resulted in consequences; (4) Technology gaps hindering monitoring, with manual processes creating opportunities for manipulation; and (5) Resistance to control compliance, viewed as bureaucratic obstacles rather than protective mechanisms.

6.0 Conclusions

This study conclusively established that internal controls significantly influenced organizational profitability at Kampala Capital City Authority. The empirical evidence demonstrated that robust internal control systems enhanced revenue generation efficiency, expenditure management effectiveness, operational cost optimization, and overall financial sustainability. The strong correlations and substantial explanatory power of the regression model confirmed that internal controls represented strategic management tools rather than merely compliance requirements.

Control environment emerged as the most critical component, highlighting that organizational culture, ethical leadership, and accountability mechanisms constituted foundations for effective financial management. Without commitment from top management and consequences for control violations, technical control procedures remained ineffective regardless of design quality. This finding emphasized the necessity of governance reforms addressing leadership accountability and organizational culture transformation.

The study revealed substantial gaps in KCCA's internal control implementation, particularly regarding segregation of duties, fraud risk assessment, corrective action implementation, and integrated information systems. These weaknesses explained documented financial irregularities, revenue collection shortfalls, and operational inefficiencies. The

dramatic profitability differences between organizational units with strong versus weak controls demonstrated unrealized potential for financial performance improvement through control system strengthening.

Research findings validated theoretical propositions linking internal controls to organizational performance while providing context-specific insights for Ugandan public sector management. The study contributed to limited empirical literature on internal control-profitability relationships in African local government contexts, offering evidence-based guidance for governance reforms targeting enhanced accountability, transparency, and financial sustainability.

7.0 Recommendations

KCCA management should prioritize control environment enhancement through visible ethical leadership, establishment of clear accountability frameworks, and consistent enforcement of consequences for control violations. The Executive Director and divisional directors should communicate control importance regularly, model compliance, and ensure that audit recommendations are implemented with specific timelines and responsible officers. A performance management system incorporating control compliance metrics would institutionalize accountability.

KCCA should urgently invest in comprehensive financial management software integrating budgeting, accounting, procurement, revenue collection, and reporting functions. Automated systems would enhance transaction recording accuracy, enable real-time monitoring, reduce manual processing vulnerabilities, and generate timely management information supporting decision-making. The system should incorporate built-in controls preventing unauthorized transactions and flagging unusual patterns for investigation.

Management should conduct comprehensive reviews of job descriptions and workflows, identifying incompatible functions currently combined due to staffing constraints. Priority recruitment should focus on positions critical for proper segregation, including separating revenue collection from recording, procurement initiation from authorization, and payment processing from approval. Where resource constraints preclude complete segregation, compensating controls including enhanced supervisory reviews and independent reconciliations should be implemented.

KCCA should establish a dedicated fraud risk management framework systematically identifying fraud vulnerabilities across operations, assessing likelihood and impact, and implementing targeted preventive controls. Anonymous fraud reporting mechanisms (hotlines, secure online portals) should be established with protection for whistleblowers. Fraud awareness training should be mandatory for all staff, emphasizing detection indicators and reporting responsibilities.

A centralized audit recommendation tracking system should be established, with quarterly progress reports to management and the KCCA Authority board. Internal audit should conduct follow-up reviews verifying implementation of recommendations, with escalation protocols for persistent non-compliance. Management

performance evaluations should incorporate audit recommendation implementation rates, creating accountability for addressing control deficiencies.

The internal audit function should report directly to the KCCA Authority board rather than executive management, ensuring independence from operational management. Internal audit staff should receive continuous professional development in risk-based auditing, forensic accounting, and information systems auditing. Budget allocations for internal audit should be ring-fenced, preventing operational budget pressures from compromising audit activities.

KCCA should implement electronic revenue collection systems across all revenue streams, eliminating cash transactions where feasible. Property database updates incorporating GIS technology would improve tax assessment accuracy and coverage. Mystery shopper programs and spot checks would deter revenue collector fraud. Revenue collection performance should be monitored through variance analysis, investigating significant deviations from targets or historical patterns.

Procurement processes should be fully automated through e-procurement systems mandating competitive procedures, documenting justifications for deviations, and creating audit trails. Contract management systems should track deliverables, payment milestones, and performance, preventing unauthorized payments or variations. Procurement committee decisions should be documented with minutes and dissenting opinions recorded. Regular procurement audits focusing on high-value contracts would detect irregularities promptly.

References

- A. Nafiu, L., O. Oshungade, I., & A. Adewara, A. (2012). Alternative Estimation Method for a Three-Stage Cluster Sampling in Finite Population. *American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 2(6), 199–205. <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ajms.20120206.06>
- Alex, I., Ariyo, D., & Kazaara, G. (2023). Internal Controls and Financial Performance of Saccos in Wakiso District. In *International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research* (Vol. 7). www.ijeais.org/ijamr
- Amos, S., Shamirah, B., & Richard, K. (2024). *Taxation Policies and The Development of Small Businesses . A Case Study of Namungoona Lubaga Division , Kampala*. 8(6), 92–102.
- Annet, N., Andrew, N., Kazaara, A. G., Christopher, F., & Moses, N. (2023). *Impact of Budgeting On the Profitability of a Manufacturing Company , a Case Study of Harris International*. 7(2), 112–126.
- Ariyo, G. K. (2023). Corporate Social Responsibility and Competitive Edge. Lesson from MTN Uganda. *Texila International Journal of Management*, 9(2), 14–25. <https://doi.org/10.21522/tijmg.2015.09.02.art002>
- Christopher, F. (2024). *Public Financial management and service delivery in Kisoro Local Government , Kisoro District*. 8(April), 10–16.

- Christopher, F., Komunda, T. R., & Milton, N. (2022). *The Impact of Supervision on the Quality-Of-Service Delivery at Kirima Community Secondary School in Kanungu District , South Western Uganda*. 6(5), 157–162.
- Deus, T. (2023). *Inventory Control And Financial Performance Of Private Health Institutions . A Case Study Of Nakasero Hospital , Central Division*. 7(2), 158–166.
- Faith, K., Kalikola, J., Kazaara, A. G., Catherine, M., & Ismail, L. (2023). *The Effects of Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation on Promoting Good Governance in Uganda : A Case Study of the Ministry Of Local Government*. 7(3), 71–83.
- Gracious, A. (2023). *The Effects Of Electronic Banking On Customer Service Delivery , A Case Study Of Cairo Bank Uganda , Nakasero*. 7(2), 80–87.
- Innocent, A., Kazaara, A. G., Nelson, K., Catherine, M., Micheal, T., & Deus, T. (2023). *Internal Auditing and Fraud Prevention in Organizations a Case Study of Nssf Kampala Area*. 7(2), 150–157.
- Irumba, A., Nicholas, K., & Alex, I. (2024). *Electronic Banking and its Impact on Financial Performance: An Empirical Evidence of Centenary Bank*. 3(4), 104–111. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380154046>
- Julius, A., & Kaazara, A. G. (2025). *The Academic Writing Process : A Comprehensive Framework for Effective Scholarly Communication*. 9(12), 212–219.
- Julius, A., & Matovu, K. (2025). *Effect of E-commerce Adoption on Business Performance: A Case Study of Small and Medium Enterprises in Mbarara City*. 4(2), 93–102. <https://www.journals.miu.ac.ug>
- Julius, A., Nancy, M., & Audrey, A. (2024). *Forensic Audit On Public Funds Management In Uganda . An Empirical Evidence Of Kampala Capital City Authority*. 8(8), 8–13.
- Kazaara, A. G., Nelson, K., & Kazaara, A. I. (2024). *Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Organizational Efficiency and Productivity . A Case Study of Metropolitan International University , Kampala Campus*. 8(8), 254–260.
- Kazaara, A. I., & Audrey, A. (2024). *Sustainable Supply Chain Management Practices and their Effect on Firm Performance , A Case Study of Cheap General Hardware , Nansana Branch*. 8(8), 268–274.
- Lydia, N., Ariyo, D., Kazaara, G., Kazaara, A. I., Brenda, T., Moses, N., & Bafaki, G. (2023). Promotion of Small-Scale Industries and Development of Business. A Case Study; Masafu Subcounty (Busia). In *International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research* (Vol. 7). www.ijeais.org/ijamr
- Moses, N., Enock, Z., & Matovu, K. (2025). *Corporate Governance and Financial Performance : A Case Study of Uganda Development Bank*. 9(February), 31–38.
- Nafiu, L. A., Oshungade, I. O., & Adewara, A. A. (2012). *Generalization of Multistage Cluster Sampling Using Finite Population*. 3(1), 17–20. www.eaas-journal.org
- Nelson, K., Christopher, F., & Milton, N. (2022). *Teach Yourself Spss and Stata*. 6(7), 84–122.
- Nelson, K., Kazaara, A. G., & Kazaara, A. I. (2023). *Teach Yourself E-Views*. 7(3), 124–145.
- Ntirandekura, M., Ainebyoona, A., Registrar, D., District, B., & Commission, E. (2022).

- Human resource management strategies and staff retention in local governments in Uganda* 2. 6(7), 89–103.
- Ntirandekura, M., & Christopher, F. (2022). *Community Participation and Budget Allocation in Local Governments in Uganda : A Case Study of Rubanda District Local Government , Western Uganda*. 6(5), 124–134.
- Olanrewaju, R. O., Waititu, A. G., & Abiodun, N. L. (2021). *On the Estimation of k-Regimes Switching of Mixture Autoregressive Model via Weibull Distributional Random Noise*. 10(1), 1–8.
<https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijps.20211001.01>
- Paul, K., Alex, I., Kazaara, A. G., & Kazaara, A. I. (2023). *Corruption and Public Finance Management A Case Study Of Wakiso District*. 7(3), 298–306.
- Racheal, N., Enock, Z., & Kazaara, A. G. (2023). *Impact of quality financial reporting on an organization resource management: a case study of humuza holding limited kampala uganda* 1. 2(2), 408–425.
- Ramadhan, B., Alex, I., Kazaara, A. G., Nelson, K., Deus, T., & Pascal, T. (2023). *Taxation and the Development of Small Businesses in Uganda , a Case Study of Iganga District*. 7(2), 136–149.
- Regan, S., Alex, I., Kazaara, A. I., & Nancy, M. (2024). *Waste As Business : Firm Capabilities , Innovations And Growth Of Firms In The Sanitation Sub-Sector*. 8(6), 192–204.
- Ronald, K., Kazaara, A. G., Ismail, L., & Micheal, T. (2023). *The Impact Of Privately Owned Enterprises On The Economic Development Of Communities In Uganda A Case Study Of Masaka City*. 7(3), 63–70.
- Ronet, C., Micheal, T., Kazaara, A. G., Nelson, K., & Ismail, L. (2023). *Assessing of the Impact of Stock Controls on Management of Costs in Organizations ; a Case Study of Mukwano Group of Companies*. 7(2), 160–178.
- Sarah, A., Nafiu, P., & Abiodun, L. (2024). *Sustainability in the Fashion Industry : Strategies for Reducing Environmental Impact and Enhancing Profitability*. 8(8), 178–182.